Application Number Document Code - DISQ	Application/Con	F	MIY	ument - DO NOT MAIL			
TERMINAL DISCLAIMER	☑ APPROVED		☐ DISAPPROVED				
Date Filed : September 13, 2005	This patent is subject to a Terminal Disclaimer						
Approved/Disapproved by:							
Henry D. Jefferson							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

T.D. INFORMAL MEMO: DO NOT MAIL THIS MEMO TO APPLICANT

ART UNIT: 2655 FROM: Jefferson, Henn PARALEGAL SPECIALIST Decision on Terminal Disclaimer (T.D.) filed: 97-909-95 INSTRUCTIONS: I have reviewed the submitted T.D. with the results as set forth below. If you agree, please use the appropriate form paragraphs identified by this informal memo in your next Office on to nextly applicant the Ten. If you disagree or have any paragraphs identified by this informal memo in your next Office on to nextly applicant the Ten. If you disagree or have any paragraphs identified by this informal memo in your next Office on to nextly applicant the Ten. If you disagree or have any paragraphs identified by this informal memo in your next Office on the paragraphs (and the ten. In the property of the paragraphs of the paragraphs identified by this informal memo in your next Office on the paragraphs of the paragraphs identified by this informal memo in your next Office of the paragraphs of the paragraphs identified by this informal memo in your next Office of the paragraphs identified by the paragraphs of the paragraphs identified by the paragraphs identified the paragraphs identified by the paragraphs of the paragraphs identified by the paragraphs of the paragraphs identified by the application of the business of the paragraphs identified by the paragraph of the paragraphs in the paragraph of the paragraphs identified by the paragraph of the paragraphs in the paragraph in the paragraphs in the paragraphs in the paragraph in the paragraphs i	ATE:	<u>13-Sep-05</u>	APPL. S.N.:	10/827,440					
PARALEGAL SPECIALIST Decision on Terminal Disclaimer (T.D.) filled: Decision on Terminal Disclaimer (T.D.) filled: Decision on Te		R NEYZARI, ALL	ART UNIT:	<u> 2655</u>	Casa Drop-Off Locatic				
PARALEGAL SPECIALIST SUBJECT: Decision on Terminal Disclaimer (T.D.) filed: DISTRUCTIONS: I have raviewed the submitted T.D. with the results as set forth below. If you agree, please use the appropriate form paragraphs identified by this informal memo in your next Office sustion to noilly applicant of the T.D. If you disagree or have any paragraphs identified by this informal memo in your next Office sustion to noilly applicant of the T.D. If you disagree or have any questions, please see one or the Special Program and Park Office of the Application of the T.D. If you disagree or have any questions, please each of the Park Office			RET	URN THIS MEMO TO:					
INSTRUCTIONS: I have reviewed the submitted T.D. with the results as set forth below. If you agree, please use the appropriate form paragraphs identified by this informat memor in your next Office action to notify applicant of the T.D. If you disagrae or have suppragraphs identified by this informat memor in progressions, please use on the appropriate form paragraphs identified by this informat memor in progressions. Please in the paragraphs identified by this information of the paragraphs of the T.D. It you disagrae or have suppragraphs identified by the information of the paragraphs of the T.D. It you disagrae or have suppragraphs identified by the paragraphs of the paragraphs of the paragraphs identified by the paragraphs of the paragraphs in the paragraphs of the paragrap	HOIVI.				JEF-2000				
paragraphs disease as ma or the Special Program Examiner. THIS IS AN INCHANGE. When your action is complete, please initial, date MAILED TO PAPELICANT OR (2) PLACED OF FECORD IN THE APPLICATION FILE. When your action is complete, please initial, date MAILED TO PAPELICANT OR (2) PLACED OF FECORD IN THE APPLICATION FILE. When your action is complete, please initial, date MAILED TO PAPELICANT OR (2) PLACED OF FECORD IN THE APPLICATION FILE. When your action is complete, please initial, date MAILED TO PAPELICANT OR (2) PLACED OF THE APPLICATION FILE. When your action is complete, please initial, date MAILED TO PAPELICANT OR (2) PLACED OF THE APPLICATION FILE. When your action is complete, please initial, date MAILED TO PAPELICANT OR (2) PLACED OF THE APPLICATION FILE. When your action is complete, please initial, date MAILED TO PAPELICANT OR (2) PLACED OF THE APPLICATION FILE. When your action is complete, please initial, date MAILED TO PAPELICANT OR (2) PLACED OF THE APPLICATION FILE. When your action is complete, please initial, date MAILED TO PAPELICANT OR (2) PLACED OF THE APPLICATION FILE. When your action is deposit account (see § 14.26.2). The T.D. does not satisfy Rule 321 in that the person who has signed the T.D. has not stated the extent of the extent of the interest (and/or the extent of the papelication (and in the extent of interest (and/or the extent of the papelication (or the number of the patent) which forms the		Decision on Terminal Discialities (1.5.) most							
The T.D. is NOT PROPER and has not been accepted for the reason(s) checked below (see § 14.24): The TD fee of	paragra	ons identified by this information in the Special Program Examiner. THIS IS AN INFO s, please see me or the Special Program Examiner. THIS IS AN INFO	n below. If you ag pplicant of the T.I RMAL, INTERNAI N FILE. When you	gree, please use the appro D. If you disagree or have L MEMO ONLY. IT MUST ur action is complete, plea	priate form any NOT BE (1) se initial, date				
The TD fee of has not been submitted nor is there any authorization in the application file to the last of a september of the second satisfy. Rule 321 in that the person who has signed the T.D. has not stated the extent of his/her interest (and/or the extent of the interest of the business entity represented by the signature) in the application/patent (see ¶ 14.26 & 14.26.01). The T.D. Lacks the enforceable only during common ownership clause – needed to overcome a non-statutory double patenting rejection. Rule 321(b) (see ¶ 14.27.01). The T.D. is directed to a particular claim(s), which is not acceptable since "the disclaimer must be for a terminal portion of the term of the gainer patent to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (see ¶ 14.26 & 14.26.02). The person who signed the T.D.: is not an attorney "of record" (see ¶ ¶ 14.29 and 14.29.01). has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see ¶ 14.28). is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see ¶ ¶ 14.29 & possible 14.29.02). No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assigne has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 3.7 CTR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 3.7 CTR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 3.7 CTR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or specified (see ¶ ¶ 14.26 & 14.26.03). The T.D. is not signed (see ¶ ¶ 14.26 & 14.26.03). The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see ¶ ¶ 14.26, 14.2.7.02 or 14.26.05). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see ¶ ¶ 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund									
The TD fee of has not been submitted nor is there any authorization in the application file to the last of a descent of the second of the part of the part of the business entity represented by the signature) in the application/patent (see §§ 14.26.01). The T.D. lacks the enforceable only during common ownership clause – needed to overcome a non-statutory double patenting rejection. Rule 321(b) (see § 14.27.01). The T.D. lacks the enforceable only during common ownership clause – needed to overcome a non-statutory double patenting rejection. Rule 321(b) (see § 14.27.01). The T.D. is directed to a particular claim(s), which is not acceptable since "the disclaimer must be for a terminal portion of the term of the gainer patent to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (see § 9 14.26 & 14.26.02). The person who signed the T.D.: is not an attorney "of record" (see §§ 14.29 and 14.29.01). has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business emity (see § 14.28). is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see §§ 14.29. & possible 14.29.02). No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assigne has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 7.10.78.3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 7.10.78.3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or specified see the recal and frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see § 14.36.03). The T.D. is not signed (see §§ 14.26 & 14.26.03). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see §§ 14.36). 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see §§ 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). Other: Suggestion to request refund (see §§ 14.36)	☐ The T	.D. is NOT PROPER and has not been accepted for the reason(s) checked belo	w (see ¶ 14.24):	file for the use of a denos	it account				
The T.D. does not satisfy Rule 321 in that the person who has signed the T.D. has not stated the extent of minimizers of the business entity represented by the signature) in the application/patient (see § ¶ 14.26 & 14.26.01). The T.D. lacks the enforceable only during common ownership clause – needed to overcome a non-statutory double patenting rejection. Rule 321(b) (see ¶ 14.27.01). The T.D. is directed to a particular claim(s), which is not acceptable since "the disclaimer must be for a terminal portion of the term of the entire patent to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (see ¶ 14.26 & 14.26.02). The person who signed the T.D.: is not an attorney "of record" (see ¶ ¶ 14.29 and 14.29.01). has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see ¶ 14.28). is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see ¶ ¶ 14.29 & possible 14.29.02). No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the recl and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see § 7 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see § 7 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see § 7 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or specified (see ¶ ¶ 14.26 & 14.26.03). The T.D. is not signed (see ¶ ¶ 14.26 & 14.26.03). The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see ¶ ¶ 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see ¶ ¶ 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see ¶ ¶ 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). Date:		The TD fee of has not been submitted nor is there any authoriza	tion in the applicati	ion the for the use of a depos					
The T.D. lacks the enforceable only during common ownership clause – needed to overcome a non-statutory double patenting rejection. Rule 321(b) (see ¶ 14.27.01). The T.D. is directed to a particular claim(s), which is not acceptable since "the disclaimer must be for a terminal portion of the term of the entire patent to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (see ¶¶ 14.26 & 14.26.02). The person who signed the T.D.: is not an attorney "of record" (see ¶¶ 14.29 and 14.29.01). has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see ¶¶ 14.28). is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see ¶¶ 14.29 & possible 14.29.02). No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see ¶¶ 14.29.01) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see ¶¶ 0.00 and		the TD	has not stated the e	xtent of his/her interest (and/	or the extent of the				
The T.D. is directed to a particular claim(s), which is not acceptable since "the disclaimer must be for a terminal portion of the term of the entire patent to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (see ¶¶ 14.26 & 14.26.02). The person who signed the T.D.: is not an attorney "of record" (see ¶¶ 14.29 and 14.29.01). has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see ¶ 14.28). is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see ¶¶ 14.29 & possible 14.29.02). No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see ¶ 7.373(b) and 1140.0.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the T.D. of in a separate paper of record in the application (see ¶ 14.30). The T.D. is not signed (see ¶¶ 14.26 & 14.26.03). The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see ¶¶ 14.32). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see ¶¶ 14.26.14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see ¶¶ 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). Other: Suggestion to request refund (see ¶¶ 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item. I have appropriately notified applicant(s) of the status of the Terminal Disclaimer filed in this case. Ex. Initials: Date:		interact of the business entity represented of the							
The person who signed the T.D.: is not an attorney "of record" (see ¶ 14.29 and 14.29.01). has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see ¶ 14.28). is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see ¶ ¶ 14.29 & possible 14.29.02). No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel and frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see ¶ 14.30). The T.D. is not signed (see ¶ ¶ 14.26 & 14.26.03). The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see ¶ 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see ¶ ¶ 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see ¶ ¶ 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). Other:		The T.D. lacks the enforceable only during common ownership clause – needed to overcome a non-statutory double patenting rejection. Rule 321(b) (see § 14.27.01).							
is not an attorney "of record" (see ¶¶ 14.29 and 14.29.01). has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see ¶ 14.28). is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see ¶¶ 14.29 & possible 14.29.02). No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or specified is to where such evidence is recorded in the T.D. of in a separate paper of record in the application (see ¶ 14.30). The T.D. is not signed (see ¶¶ 14.26 & 14.26.03). The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see ¶¶ 14.32). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see ¶¶ 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see ¶¶ 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). Other:		- which is not acceptable since "the disclaimer must be for a terminal portion of							
has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see ¶ 14.28). is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see ¶ ¶ 14.29 & possible 14.29.02). No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3, 73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3, 73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or specified as to where such evidence or specified (see ¶ 14.30). The T.D. is not signed (see ¶ ¶ 14.26 & 14.26.03). The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see ¶ 14.32). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see ¶ ¶ 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see ¶ ¶ 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). Other: Suggestion to request refund (see ¶ 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item. I have appropriately notified applicant(s) of the status of the Terminal Disclaimer filed in this case. Ex. Initials: Date: Date: Date: Date: Date: Deputing Slip Printed On: Tuesday, September 13, 2005 11:28:09 Alternative Allernative Contents and Contents		The person who signed the T.D.:							
is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see ¶ ¶ 14.29 & possible 14.29.02). No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see § ¶ 14.30) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or specified (see ¶ 14.30). The T.D. is not signed (see ¶ ¶ 14.26 & 14.26.03). The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see ¶ ¶ 14.32). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see ¶ ¶ 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see ¶ ¶ 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). Other: Suggestion to request refund (see ¶ 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item. I have appropriately notified applicant(s) of the status of the Terminal Disclaimer filed in this case. Ex. Initials: Date: Date: Poution Slip Printed On: Tuesday, September 13, 2005 11:28:09 Alternative Allernative All		is not an attorney "of record" (see ¶¶ 14.29 and 14.29.01).							
No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel and frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see § 14.30). The T.D. is not signed (see § § 14.26 & 14.26.03). The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see § 14.32). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see § § 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see § § 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). Other: Suggestion to request refund (see § 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item. I have appropriately notified applicant(s) of the status of the Terminal Disclaimer filed in this case. Ex. Initials: Date:		has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see ¶ 14	.28).						
specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see ¶ 14.30). The T.D. is not signed (see ¶ 14.26 & 14.26.03). The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see ¶ 14.32). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see ¶ 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see ¶ ¶ 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). Other: Suggestion to request refund (see ¶ 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item. I have appropriately notified applicant(s) of the status of the Terminal Disclaimer filed in this case. Ex. Initials: Date:		is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see ¶¶ 14.29 & possible 1	4.29.02).						
The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see ¶ 14.32). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see ¶ ¶ 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see ¶ ¶ 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). Other: Suggestion to request refund (see ¶ 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item. I have appropriately notified applicant(s) of the status of the Terminal Disclaimer filed in this case. Ex. Initials: Date: D		No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number							
(see ¶ 14.32). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see ¶ ¶ 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see ¶ ¶ 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). Other: Suggestion to request refund (see ¶ 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item. I have appropriately notified applicant(s) of the status of the Terminal Disclaimer filed in this case. Ex. Initials: Date: Da		The T.D. is not signed (see ¶ ¶ 14.26 & 14.26.03).							
(see ¶¶ 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see ¶¶ 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). Other: Suggestion to request refund (see ¶ 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item. I have appropriately notified applicant(s) of the status of the Terminal Disclaimer filed in this case. Ex. Initials: Date:		(see ¶ 14.32).							
Other: Suggestion to request refund (see ¶ 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item. I have appropriately notified applicant(s) of the status of the Terminal Disclaimer filed in this case. Ex. Initials: Date: Date: Pouting Slip Printed On: Tuesday, September 13, 2005 11:28:09 A		The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect							
Suggestion to request refund (see ¶ 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item. I have appropriately notified applicant(s) of the status of the Terminal Disclaimer filed in this case. Log Date: Ex. Initials: Date: Pouting Slip Printed On: Tuesday, September 13, 2005 11:28:09 A			or 14.26.03).						
I have appropriately notified applicant(s) of the status of the Terminal Disclaimer filed in this case. Log Date: Ex. Initials: Date: Pouting Slip Printed On: Tuesday, September 13, 2005 11:28:09 A		Other:							
Ex. Initials: Date: Date: Pouting Slip Printed On: Tuesday, September 13, 2005 11:28:09 Al	_			osit account and do not checl	this item.				
Ex. Initials: Date: Pouting Slip Printed On: Tuesday, September 13, 2005 11:28:09 Al	l have a	propriately notified applicant(s) of the status of the Terminal Disclaimer filed	n this case.						
Pouting Slip Printed On: Tuesday, September 13, 2005 11:28:09 A	.	Date:							
		(D) (100)	Routing Slip I	Printed On: Tuesday, Sept	ember 13, 2005 11:28:09 Af				

500.35556C17

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants:

MIYAMOTO et al

Serial No.:

10/827,440

Filed:

April 20, 2004

For:

Information Recording Method

Art Unit:

2655

Examiner:

A. Neyzari

TERMINAL DISCLAIMER

Mail Stop: Response (Fee) Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

September 2, 2005

Sir:

The undersigned, Melvin Kraus, as attorney of record, states as follows:

Hitachi, Ltd., having a principal place of business at 6, Kanda Surugadai 4-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 101, Japan, is the owner of the entire right, title and interest in and to the subject application by virtue of the assignment recorded on July 25, 1997 at reel 8726, frame 0792.

Hitachi, Ltd. hereby disclaims the terminal part of any patent granted on the above-identified application, which would extend beyond the expiration of the full statutory term (as set forth in 35 U.S.C. 154) of United States Patent Nos. 6,219,331 and 6,542,448, and hereby agrees that any patent so granted on the above-identified application shall be enforceable only for and during such period that the legal title to said patent shall be the same as the legal title to United States Patent Nos. 6,219,331

09/07/2005 NHGUYEH1 00000077 10827440

01 FC:1814

130.00 QP

and 6,542,448, this agreement to run with any patent granted on the above-identified application and to be binding upon the grantee, its successors and assigns.

In making the above disclaimer, the owner does not disclaim the terminal part of any patent granted on the instant application that would extend to the expiration date of the full statutory term as defined in 35 USC 154 to 156 and 173 of the prior patent, as presently shortened by any terminal disclaimer, in the event that it later: expires for failure to pay a maintenance fee, is held unenforceable, is found invalid by a court of competent jurisdiction, is statutorily disclaimed in whole or terminally disclaimed under 35 USC 1.321, has all claims cancelled by a reexamination certificate, is reissued, or is in any manner terminated prior to the expiration of its full statutory term as presently shortened by any terminal disclaimer.

HITACHI, LTD.

9/2/05

j

Registration No. 22,466 Attorney of Record